# A comment on the paper "Continued fractions and orderings on the Markov numbers, Adv. Math. 370 (2020), 107231"

DoYong Kwon

Department of Mathematics, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 61186, Republic of Korea E-mail: doyong@jnu.ac.kr

#### Abstract

We discuss the validity of the proof of the fixed numerator conjecture on Markov numbers, which is the main result of the paper mentioned in the title.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A55, 11B83, 30B70. Keywords: Markov number, fixed numerator conjecture

#### **1** Introduction

In the present paper, all notations and conventions follow those of [4] unless stated explicitly. For a finite word w, we mean by |w| the length of w, i.e., the number of letters appearing in w. For a letter a, let  $|w|_a$  denote the number of occurrences of a in w. For example, |22211| = 5 and  $|22211|_2 = 3$ .

In [4], the authors gave a proof of the fixed numerator conjecture on Markov numbers, which was posed by Aigner [1] together with the fixed denominator conjecture and the fixed sum conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.2** (Fixed numerator conjecture) Let p, q and i be positive integers such that p < q, gcd(q, p) = 1 and gcd(q + i, p) = 1. Then  $m_{p/q} < m_{p/(q+i)}$ .

The proof of this conjecture was presented via Theorem 5.2.

**Theorem 5.2** Let p and q be positive integers such that p < q. Then  $m_{p/q} < m_{p/(q+1)}$ .

In the above theorem,  $m_{p/q}$  is the numerator of the continued fraction  $c_{p/q}$  whose partial quotients are encoded by the Markov snake graph  $\mathcal{G}_{p/q}$ . In particular, if gcd(q,p) = 1, then it is the usual Markov number indexed by a reduced rational p/q.

We will see below that the proof of Theorem 5.2 is incomplete and has a logical error, and hence needs some modifications.

Note that the fixed numerator conjecture was reproved independently in a different manner. In [2], the authors gave proofs for the three Aigner's conjectures: the fixed numerator, denominator and sum conjectures. The authors of [4] also announced different proofs for the three Aigner's conjectures. See [3].

#### 2 Discussion on the proof of Theorem 5.2

We first note basic facts on the Markov snake graphs.

**Fact 1.** Let p and q be positive integers with p < q, and let  $c_{p/q} = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$ , where the word  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$  is encoded by the Markov snake graph  $\mathcal{G}_{p/q}$ . Then  $m_{p/q} = N[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$  and the following hold.

- (a)  $a_1 = a_n = 2$ ,
- (b)  $|a_1a_2\cdots a_n|_1 = 2q 2p 2$ ,
- (c)  $|a_1a_2\cdots a_n|_2 = 2p$ ,
- (d)  $|a_1a_2\cdots a_n| = 2q 2$ , *i.e.*, n = 2q 2,
- (e) the number of replaceable entries (11 or 2) in  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$  is q + p 1.

Let  $c_{p/(q+1)} = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2q}]$  and  $c_{p/q} = [b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{2q-2}]$ . The authors looked at replaceable entries in the words  $A := a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2q}$  and  $B := b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{2q-2}$ . In other words, they considered 11 as a single entry, while each 2 separately. From the left to the right of A and B, different replaceable entries were called *replacements*. Then, in the first replacement, A contains 11, and B contains 2 as subwords. Moreover, the replacements occur alternately, i.e., 11 with 2, 2 with 11, 11 with 2, and so on. With the help of Lemma 5.1, we have

$$A = \mu 11\delta 2\nu 2$$
, and  $B = \mu 2\delta 11\nu'$ ,

where  $\mu, \nu, \nu' \in \{1, 2\}^*$ ,  $\delta \in \{2\}^*$ , and  $|\mu|$  is odd. See [4, p.16].

The proof of Theorem 5.2 is divided into two cases according to whether the number of replacements is even or odd. And the proof for the even case proceeds by induction. Let

$$m_{p/(q+1)} = N[\mu, 1, 1, \delta, 2, \nu, 2]$$
 and  $m_{p/q} = N[\mu, 2, \delta, 1, 1, \nu'],$  (1)

and suppose that there are an even number of replacements. The induction begins with the case of  $\nu = \nu'$ , that is,  $\nu$  and  $\nu'$  contains no more replacements. In other words, the authors first showed that

$$N[\mu, 1, 1, \delta, 2, \nu, 2] - N[\mu, 2, \delta, 1, 1, \nu] > 0.$$

But this case is vacuous, and hence cannot be a base case of induction.

**Fact 2.** The case of  $\nu = \nu'$  never occurs.

*Proof.* Fact 1 guarantees that  $|\mu 11\delta 2\nu 2| = 2q$  and  $|\mu 2\delta 11\nu'| = 2q - 2$ . Since  $|\mu 11\delta 2| = |\mu 2\delta 11|$ , we have  $|\nu| = |\nu'| + 1$ .

In fact, the even case never occurs as follows.

**Fact 3.** Between  $A = \mu 11\delta 2\nu 2$  and  $B = \mu 2\delta 11\nu'$ , the number of replacements is odd.

*Proof.* It follows from Fact 1 that the word A has one more replaceable entry 11 than B. Suppose that A and B have an even number of replacements. Since the replacements occur alternately, one concludes  $|A|_1 = |B|_1$ , which is a contradiction.

Next, we turn to the case where there are an odd number of replacements. According to [4, p.18], one can write

$$m_{p/(q+1)} = N[\mu, 1, 1, \nu, 2]$$
 and  $m_{p/q} = N[\mu', 2, \nu],$  (2)

where  $\mu, \mu', \nu \in \{1, 2\}^*$ , and both  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  begin with 2. Observe that, not as in the even case, the partial quotients are compared from the right to the left. Since there are an odd number of replacements between  $\mu 11\nu 2$  and  $\mu' 2\nu$ , two words  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  have an even number of replacements. To prove  $N[\mu, 1, 1, \nu, 2] - N[\mu', 2, \nu] > 0$ , the authors derived

$$N[\mu, 1, 1, \nu, 2] - N[\mu', 2, \nu] = N[\mu, 2, \nu, 2] - N[\mu', 2, \nu] + N[\mu^{-}]N[\nu, 2].$$
(3)

Since  $\mu 2\nu 2$  and  $\mu' 2\nu$  have an even number of replacements, they appealed to the even case for the proof of

$$N[\mu, 2, \nu, 2] - N[\mu', 2, \nu] > 0.$$
(4)

The proof of the even case depends upon the structure of the words. But our words  $\mu 2\nu 2$  and  $\mu' 2\nu$  do not fit into those of the even case. Since  $|\mu 2\nu 2| = 2q - 1$ , it follows from Fact 1 that  $\mu 2\nu 2$  cannot be generated from any Markov snake graph. So Lemma 5.1, which is used in the proof of the even case, should be cautiously applied to the word  $\mu 2\nu 2$ . A more special caution is also required when we apply Theorem 4.8 and thus Corollary 4.9, which are highly depends upon the whole structure of the words. These concerns are well revealed by the next examples.

**Example 1.** Considering the Markov snake graph  $\mathcal{G}_{1/5}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{1/4}$ , we find

$$m_{1/5} = N[2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2]$$
 and  $m_{1/4} = N[2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2].$ 

Note that  $\nu$  in (2) is the empty word  $\nu = \varepsilon$ , and  $\mu = \mu' = 21111$ . Consequently,  $N[-\nu, 2]$  in (3) should read N[] = 1. Then the left-hand side of (4) is given by

$$N[\mu, 2, \nu, 2] - N[\mu', 2, \nu] = N[2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2] - N[2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2]$$

The positivity of this value is trivial, but the present proof of the even case does not encompass this case.

An injury to the structure of the words during the induction steps of the even case is more subtle and serious.

#### **Example 2.** We have

$$\begin{split} m_{9/14} &= N[2,2,2,1,1,2,2,2,2,1,1,2,2,2,2,1,1,2,2,2,2,1,1,2,2,2,2],\\ m_{9/13} &= N[2,2,2,2,2,1,1,2,2,2,2,1,1,2,2,2,2,2,1,1,2,2,2,2,2]. \end{split}$$

There are an odd number of replacements between them. To verify the positivity of  $m_{9/14} - m_{9/13}$ , let us follow the proof of Theorem 5.2 line by line.

In (2), one notes  $\nu = 22$  and

So the left-hand side of (4) becomes

which has an even number of replacements. Next, we consult the proof of the even case. The words  $\mu$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\nu$  and  $\nu'$  in (1) are given as follows.

Now the induction reduces the positivity of this value to the positivity of three values  $N[\nu, 2] - N[\nu']$ ,  $N[^-\nu, 2] - N[^-\nu']$  and

$$N[\mu^{-}]N[\nu'] - N[\mu]N[\nu']$$

In particular, the positivity of the last value  $N[\mu^-]N[\nu'] - N[\mu]N[-\nu']$  rests upon Corollary 4.9 and Theorem 4.8. More precisely, the inequality of continued fractions

$$[\widetilde{\mu}] < [\nu']$$

holds. Here,  $\tilde{\mu}$  denotes the reversal of  $\mu$ . See [4, p.17] together with the first line of [4, p.18].

To prove  $N[\nu, 2] - N[\nu'] > 0$ , we rewrite  $\nu 2$  and  $\nu'$  in the form

$$\nu 2 = \mu_1 11 \delta_1 2 \nu_1 2, \quad \nu' = \mu_1 2 \delta_1 11 \nu'_1,$$

that is,

$$\begin{split} & N[\overbrace{2,2,1,1,2,2,2,2,1,1,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2}^{\nu},2] \\ & -N[2,2,2,2,1,1,2,2,2,2,1,1,2,2,2,2,2,2] \\ & = N[\overbrace{2,2}^{\mu_1},1,1,\overbrace{2}^{\delta_1},2,2,2,2,1,1,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2] \\ & -N[2,2,2,2,2,2,1,1,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2] \\ & -N[2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2]. \end{split}$$

The induction again reduces the positivity of this value to the positivity of three values  $N[\nu_1, 2] - N[\nu'_1], N[-\nu_1, 2] - N[-\nu'_1]$  and

$$N[\mu_1^-]N[\nu_1'] - N[\mu_1]N[-\nu_1']$$

Note here that  $\mu_1$  has an even length, while  $\mu$  in (5) has an odd length. Accordingly, the inequality of continued fractions  $[\tilde{\mu}_1] < [\nu'_1]$  is no more true, i.e., the value  $N[\mu_1^-]N[\nu'_1] - N[\mu_1]N[-\nu'_1]$  is negative.

As the induction steps proceed, the structure of the words gets critically damaged. So the induction fails to prove the even case. In order to apply Corollary 4.9 during the induction, the induction hypothesis should adhere to the fact that the common prefix  $\mu_*$  has an odd length, but the present proof appeals to the case where  $\mu_*$  has an even length.

## 3 Conclusion

The inequality (4) seems to be probable, but a more elaborate proof is required for the present. Therefore, the whole context of the proof of Theorem 5.2 is unclear and needs some modifications.

*Remark.* As of May 2021, this comment paper has been rejected by Advances in Mathematics.

### References

- M. Aigner. Markov's theorem and 100 years of the uniqueness conjecture. A mathematical journey from irrational numbers to perfect matchings. Springer, Cham, 2013.
- [2] C. Lagisquet, E. Pelantová, S. Tavenas and L. Vuillon. On the Markov numbers: fixed numerator, denominator, and sum conjectures. Adv. in Appl. Math., 130 (2021), 102227.
- [3] K. Lee, L. Li, M. Rabideau and R. Schiffler. On the ordering of the Markov numbers. arXiv:2010.13010.
- [4] M. Rabideau and R. Schiffler. Continued fractions and orderings on the Markov numbers. Adv. Math. 370 (2020), 107231.